

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/370,770		08/09/1999	JUSTIN CHE-I CHUANG	2685/5259	259 8481	
26652	7590	12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
AT&T (LUGO, DAVID B			
P.O. BOX MIDDLE		, NJ 07748		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2634	/2	
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003	/~	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_				
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>				
	09/370,770	1	CHUANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	David B. Lu	go	2634					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended peri	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the statututory period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 September 20</u>	<u>'03</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is nor	ı-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice				s is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-19 is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from cons							
Application Papers		•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Fxaminer							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action for since a specific reference was included and application of the foreign lang. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter.	ocuments have been locuments have been f the priority documer al Bureau (PCT Rule for a list of the certific domestic priority und in the first sentence of guage provisional appring domestic priority under domestic priority under domestic priority under domestic priority under the sentence of the sent	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not received as 5 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or dication has been received as 5 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application Data Served. eived. and/or 121 since a spec	Sheet.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	_•				

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. Regarding claims 13-15, Applicant admits that equation 1 of the reference to Furuskär et al. represents a performance measuring function that uses at least one parameter, but contends that there is no teaching of calculating a threshold value including the step of determining a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized for each of the link adaptation modes. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.
- In page 1285, the final paragraph starting on the left hand column of the reference to Furuskär et al., it is stated that the task of the link adaptation algorithm is to always select the scheme n maximizing throughput S_n , and that each link adaptation scheme achieves the highest throughput among the different schemes for a certain link quality. Reference is made to Figure 1, which clearly shows that there is a range of link quality values for which each scheme maximizes the throughput. Thus, a range bounded by threshold values for each link adaptation mode is explicitly shown in Fig. 1, where the thresholds correspond to the link quality values for which each link adaptation scheme begins and finishes realizing the highest throughput with respect to the other link adaptation schemes.
- 4. The rejection of claims 13-15 is maintained, and is restated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2634

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Furuskär et al.
- Regarding claim 13, Furuskär et al. teach the calculation of threshold values for each of at least one link adaptation modes where a performance criteria function is calculated using at least one parameter (p. 1285, equation 1), and for each link adaptation mode in Table 1, a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized is determined (see p. 1285, left hand column, final paragraph, Fig. 1), where the environment is considered a retransmission environment as retransmissions are performed as necessary (see p. 1285, left hand column, section B).
- 8. Regarding claim 14, the performance criteria function is a throughput function, S_n, dependent upon a radio interference rate, R, and a block error rate value, BLER_n.
- 9. Regarding claim 15, the signal quality value is a function of a signal to interference ratio (C/I).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

,

Art Unit: 2634

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0954.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at **(703)** 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dbl 11/18/03 NOUNG T. TSE PRIMARY EXAMINER